

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,355	01/23/2004	Alfred O. Eisele	2629	8102	
7590 05/06/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Alfred O. Eisele			WALCZAK	WALCZAK, DAVID J	
P.O. Box 835 Milford, DE 19963			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•			3751		

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Astion Summer		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/764,355	EISELE, ALFRED O.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		David J. Walczak	3751					
•	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 for SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja	anuary 2004.						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[\]	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers		·					
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		, who do midd do phod hot hode had	.					
Attachment		_						
Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat						
3) 🛛 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/23/04</u> .	6)						

DETAILED ACTION

Election

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-17, drawn to a writing instrument having a casting thereon,
 classified in class 401, subclass 195.
- II. Claims 18-38, drawn to a casting process, classified in class 164.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made from another and materially different process, such as a process which does not require coating a workpiece with heat-resistant coating material or a centrifugal casting mold.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Alfred Eisele on 4/4/05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-17. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Art Unit: 3751

Claims 18-38 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Abstract

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because phrases which can be implied, such as "is provided" and "also provided" (see lines 1 and 8) should not be present therein. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Citrenbaum. Citrenbaum discloses a hand held writing implement 11 which inherently has a barrel, writing mechanism and writing tip (see column 3, line 39) and a three-dimensional metal (see column 3, line 12) casting (see column 5, line 2) extending circumferentially therearound.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/764,355

Art Unit: 3751

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Citrenbaum. In regard to claims 2-8, although the Citrenbaum reference does not disclose the particular type of writing implement employed, it is the Examiner's position that the disclosed gripping element can be used on any type of writing implement (made from any suitable material), including the claimed implements and materials, without effecting the overall operation of the device, especially since the Applicant has not indicated that the structure of the writing implement in the instant invention is critical to the scope of the invention. In regard to claims 9-13, although the Citrenbaum reference does not disclose the specifically claimed metal used to make the casting, the reference does imply that any suitable metal may be used (see column 3, lines 11-13). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the casting in the Citrenbaum device can be made from any suitable metal, including the claimed metals, without effecting the overall operation of the device. In regard to claims 14-17, as discussed supra, it is the Examiner's position that the casting can be used on any suitable writing instrument and made from any suitable material.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Solinger and Sloan references are cited for disclosing other writing implements having metal elements secured thereto.

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 571-272-4895. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 571-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

DJW 4/29/05